

PRE-VIVA APPLICATION FORM FACULTY OF CHEMICAL AND PROCESS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

SECTION A: STUDENT'S INFORMATION

STUDENT NAME	:
ID STUDENT NO.	:
PHONE NO.	:
PROGRAMME	:
NO. OF SEMESTER	:
RESEARCH CLUSTER	:
MAIN SUPERVISOR'S NAME	:
CO-SUPERVISOR 1	:
CO-SUPERVISOR 2	:
LEVEL OF STUDY	: Master by Research PhD
TYPE OF STUDY	: Full-time Part-time
THESIS TITLE	:
SECTION B : VERIFI	CATION BY SUPERVISOR
1. I hereby confirm on t	ne following:
1. All details as	stated in Section A are CORRECT
2. The applicat	on for the pre-viva is :
Supported	Not Supported
	nment (Kindly attach separately if required)

3.1	INTERNAL EXAMINERS:		
	Name	Experti	se
Ι.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	(Note: Refer to IPS guideline) 1) Internal Examiner for must at lea 2) For PhD, need to have a graduate 3) Have successfully supervised a re		ervisor or a Co-supervisor.
3.2	EXTERNAL EXAMINERS:		
	Name	University	Expertise
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
ehv ¹	PhD; 2) Have successfully supervised a resea 3) External examiners cannot be from I verify that the above statement	the same university	
eived	2) Have successfully supervised a resea	the same university s are true and the selection of	examiners are <u>free from pote</u> r
S	2) Have successfully supervised a reser 3) External examiners cannot be from I verify that the above statement d or real conflict of interest as sh	the same university s are true and the selection of a cown in Appendix B (Refer to t	examiners are <u>free from poter</u> he next page).
Sinify th	2) Have successfully supervised a reser 3) External examiners cannot be from I verify that the above statement d or real conflict of interest as sh ignature & Official Stamp N.C: VERIFICATION BY	s are true and the selection of cown in Appendix B (Refer to to the selection of the select	examiners are <u>free from poter</u> he next page). Date

SECTION D: <u>VERIFICATION BY</u>	RESEARCH & POSTGRADUATE STUDIES ADMINISTRATIVE
Completed	
Incompleted (remarks) :	
Signature & Official Stamp	Date
ECTION E: <u>VERIFICATION BY</u>	DEPUTY DEAN (RESEARCH & POSTGRADUATE STUDIES)
eputy Dean Research & Postgraduate St	udies's comment:
Supported)	Not Supported
0.000110	
Signature & Official Stamp	Date

APPENDIX B :- POTENTIAL PERCEIVED OR REAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A - CONFLICT WITH STUDENT

Working Relationship	A1- During candidature, the co-author of the paper cannot be external examiner of the candidate for that particular program.
Personal Relationship	A2- Examiner and the candidate have an existing or a previous emotional relationship of de facto, are co-residents.
Legal Relationship	A3- Examiner is or was married to the student. A4- Examiner is legally a family to the student (for example, step-father, and sister-in law). A5- Examiner is either a legal guardian or dependent of the student or has power of attorney for the student

B - CONFLICT WITH THE SUPERVISOR/ADVISOR

Working Relationship	B1- Examiner was a student of the supervisor within the past three years.
Personal Relationship	B2- Examiner is a known relative of the supervisor. B3- Examiner and the supervisor have an existing or a previous emotional relationship of de facto.
Legal Relationship	B4- Examiner is or was married to the supervisor. B5- Examiner is legally a family (for example, step-father, and sister-in-law) to the supervisor. B6- Examiner is either a legal guardian or dependent of the supervisor or has power of attorney for the supervisor.
Business, Professional and/or Social Relationships	B7- Examiner is currently in or has had a business relationship with the supervisor in the last five years (for example, partner in a small business or employment).

C - CONFLICT WITH THE UNIVERSITY

Working Relationship	C1- Examiner has examined for the University more than three times in the past 12 months
Other Relationship	C2- Examiner has/had a formal grievance with the University

D - CONFLICT WITH THE SUBJECT MATTER

Research	D1- Examiner has a direct commercial interest in the outcomes of the research
	 Mitigating circumstances may exist, for example where the paper in question has a large author list and where the examiner and supervisor have not collaborated directly. Mitigating circumstances may exist, for example where an examiner has examined students across different Schools of the University (Source: Adapted from Massey University Conflicts of Interest Guidelines, 2011)